

MILLBRAE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Accountability Progress Report

2009-2010

Adequate Yearly Progress
Academic Performance Index
Board of Education, October 13, 2010
Linda C. Luna, Superintendent

Overview

- Accountability Progress Reporting Components
- District Achievement Trends
 - 2007-2010
- Challenges
- Next Steps

Accountability Component: API

Academic Performance Index (API)

- ❑ State Accountability.
- ❑ Goal of 800.
- ❑ ***Academic growth*** from one year to the next.
 - Moving students to the next performance bands.
- ❑ Individual school targets determined annually.

Millbrae School District Achievement Trends - API

	2007	2008	2009	2010
Millbrae	843	841	860	880
State	728	742	755	767

Millbrae School District Student Subgroups - API

	2010 API	Growth
Asian	934	+18
Filipino	886	+22
Hispanic	801	+32
White	858	+20
SED	811	+20
English Learner	848	+29
Special Education	671	+121

KUDOS TO SPECIAL EDUCATION

Special Education

671 API in 2010

+121 growth

Millbrae School District

School - API

	2010 API	Growth
Green Hills	909	+5
Lomita Park	832	+7
Meadows	882	+30
Spring Valley	887	+5
Taylor Middle	883	+29

Accountability Component: AYP

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

- ❑ Federal Accountability.
- ❑ Goal: 100% proficiency by 2013-2014.
- ❑ ***Academic status*** in comparison to thresholds.
 - Moving students to proficiency or advanced.
- ❑ Uniform proficiency targets for all schools.

Millbrae School District
Achievement Trends – AYP
English Language Arts Proficiency

	2007 (23%)	2008 (34%)	2009 (45%)	2010 (56%)
Millbrae	64.1%	64.9%	70.5%	71.7%
State	45.5%	48.2%	52%	56%

Millbrae School District Achievement Trends – AYP Mathematics Proficiency

	2007 (23.7%)	2008 (34.6%)	2009 (45.5%)	2010 (57.4%)
Millbrae	62.4%	64.9%	72.1%	75.3%
State	48.5%	51%	54.2%	56.4%

Millbrae School District
Achievement Trends – AYP
General Mathematics Proficiency

	Grade 8 2009	Grade 8 2010
Millbrae	32%	46%
State	30%	31%

Millbrae School District
Achievement Trends – AYP
Algebra I Proficiency

	Grade 7 2009	Grade 7 2010	Grade 8 2009	Grade 8 2010
Millbrae	96%	98%	68%	83%
State	81%	85%	44%	54%

Millbrae School District
Achievement Trends – AYP
Geometry Proficiency

	Grade 8 2009	Grade 8 2010
Millbrae	96%	100%
State	87%	86%

Millbrae School District
Achievement Trends – AYP
Science Proficiency

	Grade 5 2009	Grade 5 2010	Grade 8 2009	Grade 8 2010
Millbrae	66%	75%	80%	82%
State	49%	55%	56%	59%

Millbrae School District
Achievement Trends – AYP
History-Social Science Proficiency

	Grade 8 2009	Grade 8 2010
Millbrae	63 ⁰ %	67 ⁰ %
State	42 ⁰ %	47 ⁰ %

District Student Subgroups - AYP

SH = Safe Harbor	2010 ELA AYP (56.8%)	2010 MATH-AYP (58%)
Asian	81.8%	90.2%
Filipino	69.6%	74.8%
Hispanic	57.7%	54.5% (SH)
White	69.3%	70.5%
SED	54.4% (SH)	62%
English Learner	63.4%	73%
Special Education	41.1% (SH)	42.9% (SH)

Green Hills: AYP Student Subgroups

	2010 ELA AYP (56.8%)	2010 MATH AYP (58%)
SCHOOL WIDE	76.3%	84.1%
Asian	88.7%	90.3%
White	66.1%	81.4%
English Learner	79.2%	90.3%

Lomita Park: AYP Student Subgroups

	2010 ELA AYP (56.8%)	2010 MATH AYP (58%)
SCHOOL WIDE	57.4%	68.8%
Hispanic	54% (SH)	63.2%
SED	53% (SH)	67.5%
English Learner	57.4%	73%

Meadows: AYP Student Subgroups

	2010 ELA AYP (56.8%)	2010 MATH AYP (58%)
SCHOOL WIDE	71.7%	77.3%
Asian	79.5%	91%
White	63.5%	59.6%
English Learner	67.7%	81.7%

Spring Valley: AYP Student Subgroups

	2010 ELA AYP (56.8%)	2010 MATH AYP (58%)
SCHOOL WIDE	66%	82.1%
Asian	74.4%	96.5%
White	62.9%	75.8%
English Learner	67.5%	89.2%

Taylor: AYP Student Subgroups

	2010 ELA AYP (56.8%)	2010 MATH AYP (58%)
SCHOOL WIDE	75.8%	72.5%
Asian	83.5%	88.2%
Hispanic	57.7%	43.8% (SH)
White	75.7%	68.8%
SED	57.1%	54.8% (SH)
English Learner	59.4%	62.2%

2010-2011 AYP Targets

MILLBRAE	2008	2009	2010	2011
ELA	64.9%	70.5%	71.7%	<hr/> 67.6%
MATH	64.9%	72.1%	75.3%	<hr/> 68.5%

2010-2011 AYP Targets

GREEN HILLS	2008	2009	2010	2011
ELA	67.7 ⁰ %	72.7 ⁰ %	76.3 ⁰ %	<hr/> 67.6 ⁰ %
MATH	76.9 ⁰ %	86.9 ⁰ %	84.1 ⁰ %	<hr/> 68.5 ⁰ %

2010-2011 AYP Targets

LOMITA PARK	2008	2009	2010	2011
ELA	43.8%	55%	57.4%	<hr/> 67.6%
MATH	44.8%	65.8%	68.8%	<hr/> 68.5%

2010-2011 AYP Targets

MEADOWS	2008	2009	2010	2011
ELA	64%	71.5%	71.7%	<hr/> 67.6%
MATH	68.6%	77.8%	77.3%	<hr/> 68.5%

2010-2011 AYP Targets

SPRING VALLEY	2008	2009	2010	2011
ELA	68.7%	72.4%	66%	<hr/> 67.6%
MATH	80.9%	86%	82.1%	<hr/> 68.5%

2010-2011 AYP Targets

TAYLOR MIDDLE	2008	2009	2010	2011
ELA	66.5%	72.7%	75.8%	<hr/> 67.6%
MATH	60.1%	64.9%	72.5%	<hr/> 68.5%

Challenges

- ❑ Closing the Achievement Gaps
 - Significant Subgroups
- ❑ Reduced Fiscal Resources
- ❑ School Site Support for Instruction

Next Steps

- Mission Statement
- Belief Statements
- Strategic Planning
- Strategic Instruction in ELA and Math for All Students

Next Steps

□ Strategic Planning

- Board Planning Session
- Strategic Planning Committee
 - Teachers, Administrators, Parents

□ Strategic Instruction through Data Driven Decisions

- Targeted instruction on content standards.
- Ongoing collaboration for instructional planning.

Next Steps

Collaboration represents a systematic process in which people work together interdependently in order to impact their practice in ways that will lead to better results for their team and the organization.